

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/623,245	07/18/2003	Toshio Hayashi	101188-54	2848
7590 08/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Norris, McLaughlin & Marcus P.A. 30th Floor			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
220 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
; :•		10/623,245	HAYASHI, TOSHIO				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	•	·				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🗌 🗜	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	Γhis action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
4) 🛛 (4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□ (Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers						
9)∐ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)∐ T	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
P	applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Application	on No				
3	Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea						
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s	s)						
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (1 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/623,245

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I: Embodiment of Fig. 1a-1c; Species II: Embodiment of Fig. 2; Species III: Embodiment of Fig. 3; Species IV: Embodiment of Fig. 4; Species V: Embodiment of Fig. 5a-5c; Species VI: Embodiment of Fig. 6; and Species VII: Embodiment of Fig. 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, may be some claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/623,245 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs August 18, 2004

> KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER